



















































### ***State-owned enterprises vs. non-state-owned enterprises***

Due to variations in property rights among firms, the impact of firms' digital transformation on innovation may vary. Indeed, many state-owned enterprises in emerging economies are intricately linked to the bureaucratic system, maintaining close ties with politicians, businessmen and government officials (Mansha et al., 2022; Tee et al., 2022; Wong & Hooy, 2018; 2024). Due to these political connection, state-owned enterprises often gain access to greater resources for digital infrastructure development, and governments may implement policies to facilitate the implementation of digital transformation within these entities (Liu et al., 2024). This political support and resource advantage empower state-owned enterprises to drive digital transformation and play a pivotal role in innovation activities.

In contrast, non-state-owned enterprises may face constraints due to financial limitations, which may restrict their investments and efforts in digital transformation. These enterprises may rely more heavily on their own profitability to support the process of digital transformation (Li & Xia, 2008), potentially impacting the effectiveness of their innovation activities. Additionally, non-state-owned enterprises may encounter pressures from market competition, leading them to prioritise short-term profits and survival over long-term investments and innovation (Sun et al., 2022). Consequently, compared to state-owned enterprises, non-state-owned enterprises may encounter greater challenges in driving digital transformation.

To test this hypothesis, this study conducted sub-sample analyses on state-owned enterprises and non-state-owned enterprises separately in columns (3) and (4), as shown in Table 9. The results indicate that the coefficient of digital transformation for state-owned enterprises is statistically significant at the 1% level, while the coefficient for non-state-owned enterprises is not significant. Thus, the impact of digital transformation on corporate innovation is more pronounced in state-owned enterprises.

### ***High-tech industry vs. non-high-tech industry***

With the continuous development and widespread adoption of digital technology, an increasing number of enterprises are accelerating their pace of digital transformation. In China, firms increasingly leverage advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, cloud computing and blockchain to expedite product development cycles and enhance product quality, thereby boosting innovation levels (Peng & Tao, 2022). Indeed, firms in high-tech industries possess higher innovation capabilities, largely relying on knowledge



innovation and intellectual capital (Wang & Du, 2022). These firms often adopt asset-light strategies, prioritising intangible assets and their relatively fixed business models are less susceptible to influence from digital technologies (Yoo et al., 2010). Conversely, firms in non-high-tech industries with higher fixed asset ratios are more likely to benefit from digital transformation, improving production processes, enhancing research and development efficiency, and driving collaboration along the upstream and downstream of the industry chain (Wang & Du, 2022). Therefore, this study anticipates a stronger positive impact of digital transformation on innovation among firms in non-high-tech industries.

Columns (5) and (6) of Table 9 reveal the disparity in the impact of digital transformation on innovation between high-tech and non-high-tech industries. Specifically, the promotion effect of digital transformation on innovation is only significant and positive in firms of non-high-tech industries. This finding suggests that the relationship between digital transformation and corporate innovation exhibits pronounced heterogeneity based on the technological characteristics of industry.

## **CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATION**

Using panel data of Chinese listed firms from 2012 to 2021, this study uses machine learning methods to construct a measure of digital transformation and discusses the influence of digital transformation on corporate innovation, along with the underlying mechanisms and heterogeneous impact factors. The main conclusions are as follows:

1. Digital transformation facilitates corporate innovation. This conclusion is robust, validated through five rigorous tests (substitution of dependent variable, substitution of independent variable, subsample regression test, Tobit model and additional fixed effects test) and three endogeneity treatments (Heckman two-stage model, controlling managerial ability using a two-stage DEA model and two-step system GMM estimator).
2. Digital transformation significantly promotes corporate innovation by increasing R&D investment and enhancing innovation efficiency.
3. This facilitative effect is particularly pronounced in the context of high-quality innovation output, with a more significant impact observed in state-owned enterprises and non-high-tech industry enterprises.

Our findings have some important contributions as follows: first, this study utilises text mining techniques of machine learning and combines them with information extracted from annual reports to construct a comprehensive digital transformation indicator for companies.

This indicator serves as a valuable reference for evaluating the extent of a firm's digital transformation and assessing its innovation outcomes. Second, there is limited literature addressing the overall impact of digital transformation on innovation activities (input, output and efficiency). By focusing on patent output and examining the dynamic changes in input and efficiency, we contribute to enriching the literature on the multifaceted effects of digital transformation on innovation. Third, our study supplements the evidence of digital transformation alleviating information asymmetry (R&D investment). Moreover, in enhancing the efficiency of innovation activities, our findings align with the previously emphasised perspective of resource dependence (e.g., Han-Song & Tian, 2022; Loonam et al., 2018; Peng & Tao, 2022; Sun et al., 2022), affirming digital transformation as a nexus of interdependence and interaction between firms and digital technologies. These insights not only deepen our understanding of the economic effects and mechanisms of digital transformation but also enrich theoretical research on factors influencing corporate innovation. Fourth, this study examines the heterogeneous effects of digital transformation on corporate innovation based on the characteristics of innovation quality, property rights and technological characteristics of the industry, providing a deeper and more comprehensive understanding of the economic benefits of corporate digital transformation and informing the development of differentiated policies.

Based on the above analysis, the government should consider implementing targeted policies. First, the government should strengthen the construction of digital technology infrastructure to support enterprises' digital transformation. Second, it is crucial to develop policies that aim to reduce the financial burden of digitalisation on non-state-owned and high-tech industry enterprises. Third, regulatory mechanisms for knowledge protection should be enhanced to facilitate the flow of innovation elements. Additionally, managers, especially those in non-state-owned and high-tech industries, should fully recognise the role of digital transformation in driving innovation within firms, thereby narrowing the innovation gap with industry leaders.

While our results highlight how digital transformation of firms contribute to innovation success, this study still has a few limitations. First, it only relies on the overall frequency of digital transformation to measure the degree of digital transformation. For comparative analysis, future studies could consider dividing

digital transformation into more specific subdomains (such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, cloud computing and big data). Second, the sample of this study is limited to Chinese listed companies. In future studies, there is potential for greater insight into the impact of digital transformation on innovation by incorporating other transitioning economies into the sample.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to acknowledge Universiti Sains Malaysia for GOT Incentive R502-KR-GOT001-000823021-K134.

## **REFERENCES**

- Arellano, M., & Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. *Journal of Econometrics*, *68*(1), 29–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076\(94\)01642-d](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(94)01642-d)
- Blundell, R., & Bond, S. (1998). Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. *Journal of Econometrics*, *87*(1), 115–143. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4076\(98\)00009-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4076(98)00009-8)
- Butschan, J., Heidenreich, S., Weber, B., & Kraemer, T. (2019). Tackling hurdles to digital transformation—The role of competencies for successful industrial internet of things (IIoT) implementation. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, *23*(04), 1950036. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s1363919619500361>
- Campbell, E. M., Sittig, D. F., Ash, J. S., Guappone, K. P., & Dykstra, R. H. (2006). Types of unintended consequences related to computerized provider order entry. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, *13*(5), 547–556. <https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.m2042>
- Cao, L., Jiang, H., Li, G., & Zhu, L. (2024). Haste makes waste? Quantity-based subsidies under heterogeneous innovations. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, *142*, 103517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2023.09.004>
- Chan, C. M. L., Teoh, S. Y., Yeow, A., & Pan, G. (2018). Agility in responding to disruptive digital innovation: Case study of an SME. *Information Systems Journal*, *29*(2), 436–455. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12215>
- Chang, X., Chen, Y., Wang, S. Q., Zhang, K., & Zhang, W. (2019). Credit default swaps and corporate innovation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *134*(2), 474–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.12.012>
- Chen, Y., Podolski, E. J., & Veeraraghavan, M. (2015). Does managerial ability facilitate corporate innovative success? *Journal of Empirical Finance*, *34*, 313–326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jempfin.2015.08.002>
- Choi, S. B., Lee, S. H., & Williams, C. (2011). Ownership and firm innovation in a transition economy: Evidence from China. *Research Policy*, *40*(3), 441–452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.01.004>

- Dahlman, C., Mealy, S., & Wermelinger, M. (2016). Harnessing the digital economy for developing countries. OECD Development Centre Working Papers No. 334, OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/4adffb24-en>
- Demerjian, P. R., Lev, B., & McVay, S. E. (2012). Quantifying managerial ability: A new measure and validity tests. *Management Science*, 58(7), 1229–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1110.1487>
- Ding, N., Gu, L., & Peng, Y. (2022). Fintech, financial constraints and innovation: Evidence from China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 73, 102194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2022.102194>
- Earley, S. (2014). The digital transformation: Staying competitive. *IT Professional*, 16(2), 58–60. <https://doi.org/10.1109/mitp.2014.24>
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308385>
- Fang, Z., Razzaq, A., Mohsin, M., & Irfan, M. (2022). Spatial spillovers and threshold effects of internet development and entrepreneurship on green innovation efficiency in China. *Technology in Society*, 68, 101844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101844>
- Fei, F., Lian, H., & Wang, S. (2019). Can regional collaborative innovation improve innovation efficiency? An empirical study of Chinese cities. *Growth and Change*, 51(1), 440–463. <https://doi.org/10.1111/grow.12346>
- Ferreira, J., Fernandes, C., & Ferreira, F. (2019). To be or not to be digital, that is the question: Firm innovation and performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 101, 583–590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.11.013>
- Ferreira, J. J., & Teixeira, A. A. (2019). Open innovation and knowledge for fostering business ecosystems. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 4(4), 253–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2018.10.002>
- Gherghina, Ș. C., Botezatu, M. A., & Simionescu, L. N. (2021). Exploring the impact of electronic commerce on employment rate: Panel data evidence from European Union countries. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 16(7), 3157–3183. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer16070172>
- Ghezzi, A., & Cavallo, A. (2020). Agile business model innovation in digital entrepreneurship: Lean startup approaches. *Journal of Business Research*, 110, 519–537. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.06.013>
- Ghosh, S. K., Hughes, M., Hodgkinson, I. R., & Hughes, P. (2022). Digital transformation of industrial businesses: A dynamic capability approach. *Technovation*, 113, 102414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102414>
- Goldfarb, A., & Tucker, C. (2019). Digital economics. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 57(1), 3–43. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20171452>
- Gong, C., & Ribi re, V. (2021). Developing a unified definition of digital transformation. *Technovation*, 102, 102217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2020.102217>
- Guo, X., Song, X., Dou, B., Wang, A., & Hu, H. (2022). Can digital transformation of the enterprise break the monopoly? *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 27(4), 1629–1642. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-022-01666-0>

- Gupta, V., Rubalcaba, L., Gupta, C., & Pereira, L. (2022). Library social networking sites for fostering startup business globalization through strategic partnerships. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 48(6), 102504. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2022.102504>
- Han-Song, C., & Tian, Z. (2022). Environmental uncertainty, resource orchestration and digital transformation: A fuzzy-set QCA approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 139, 184–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.09.048>
- Hao, X., Wen, S., Xue, Y., Wu, H., & Hao, Y. (2023). How to improve environment, resources and economic efficiency in the digital era? *Resources Policy*, 80, 103198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.103198>
- Helfat, C. E., & Raubitschek, R. S. (2018). Dynamic and integrative capabilities for profiting from innovation in digital platform-based ecosystems. *Research Policy*, 47(8), 1391–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2018.01.019>
- Hess, T. M., Matt, C., Benlian, A., & Wiesböck, F. (2020). Options for formulating a digital transformation strategy. In R. D. Galliers, D. E. Leidner, & B. Simeonova (Eds.), *Strategic information management* (pp. 151–173). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429286797-7>
- Hirshleifer, D., Hsu, P. H., & Li, D. (2013). Innovative efficiency and stock returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 107(3), 632–654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2012.09.011>
- Holopainen, M., Saunila, M., & Ukko, J. (2023). Value creation paths of organizations undergoing digital transformation. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 30(2), 125–136. <https://doi.org/10.1002/kpm.1745>
- Hooy, G., Hooy, C., & Chee, H. (2019). Ultimate ownership, control mechanism, and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian firms. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 56(15), 3805–3828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496x.2019.1584101>
- Hou, Q., Hu, M., & Yuan, Y. (2017). Corporate innovation and political connections in Chinese listed firms. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 46, 158–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2017.09.004>
- Hu, J., Huang, Q., & Chen, X. (2020). Environmental regulation, innovation quality and firms' competitiveness—Quasi-natural experiment based on China's carbon emissions trading pilot. *Ekonomika Istrazivanja-economic Research*, 33(1), 3307–3333. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677x.2020.1771745>
- Ilvonen, I., Thalmann, S., Manhart, M., & Sillaber, C. (2018). Reconciling digital transformation and knowledge protection: a research agenda. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, 16(2), 235–244. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14778238.2018.1445427>
- Iqbal, N., Xu, J. F., Fareed, Z., Guang-Cai, W., & Ma, L. (2020). Financial leverage and corporate innovation in Chinese public-listed firms. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 25(1), 299–323. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-04-2020-0161>
- Jia, N., Mao, X., & Yuan, R. (2019). Political connections and directors' and officers' liability insurance: Evidence from China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 58, 353–372. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2019.06.001>

- Kim, H. D., Park, K., & Song, K. (2017). Do long-term institutional investors foster corporate innovation? *Accounting & Finance*, 59(2), 1163–1195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12284>
- Kindermann, B., Beutel, S., De Lomana, G. G., Strese, S., Bendig, D., & Brettel, M. (2021). Digital orientation: Conceptualization and operationalization of a new strategic orientation. *European Management Journal*, 39(5), 645–657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2020.10.009>
- Kohli, R., & Melville, N. P. (2018). Digital innovation: A review and synthesis. *Information Systems Journal*, 29(1), 200–223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12193>
- Kong, D., Zhao, Y., & Liu, S. (2021). Trust and innovation: Evidence from CEOs' early-life experience. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 69, 101984. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.101984>
- Kretschmer, T., & Khashabi, P. (2020). Digital transformation and organization design: An integrated approach. *California Management Review*, 62(4), 86–104. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125620940296>
- Li, S., & Xia, J. (2008). The roles and performance of state firms and non-state firms in China's economic transition. *World Development*, 36(1), 39–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.01.008>
- Li, X. (2012). Behind the recent surge of Chinese patenting: An institutional view. *Research Policy*, 41(1), 236–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.07.003>
- Liu, G., Liu, J., Gao, P., Yu, J., & Pu, Z. (2024). Understanding mechanisms of digital transformation in state-owned enterprises in China: An institutional perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 202, 123288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123288>
- Liu, G., & Lv, L. (2022). Government regulation on corporate compensation and innovation: Evidence from China's minimum wage policy. *Finance Research Letters*, 50, 103272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2022.103272>
- Liu, J., Zhao, M., & Wang, Y. (2020). Impacts of government subsidies and environmental regulations on green process innovation: A nonlinear approach. *Technology in Society*, 63, 101417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101417>
- Llopis-Albert, C., & Rubio, F. (2021). Impact of digital transformation on the automotive industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 162, 120343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120343>
- Loebbecke, C., & Picot, A. (2015). Reflections on societal and business model transformation arising from digitization and big data analytics: A research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 24(3), 149–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2015.08.002>
- Loonam, J., Eaves, S., Kumar, V., & Parry, G. (2018). Towards digital transformation: Lessons learned from traditional organizations. *Strategic Change*, 27(2), 101–109. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2185>
- Mansha, S., Bhutta, A. I., Antonucci, G., & Hooy, C. (2022). Do political connections matter for firm trade credit? *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 58(14), 4014–4032. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496x.2022.2083497>

- Martin, S. L., Javalgi, R. G., & Ciravegna, L. (2020). Marketing capabilities and international new venture performance: The mediation role of marketing communication and the moderation effect of technological turbulence. *Journal of Business Research*, *107*, 25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.044>
- Matarazzo, M., Penco, L., Profumo, G., & Quaglia, R. (2021). Digital transformation and customer value creation in Made in Italy SMEs: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, *123*, 642–656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.033>
- McGuinness, P. B., Vieito, J. P., & Wang, M. (2017). The role of board gender and foreign ownership in the CSR performance of Chinese listed firms. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *42*, 75–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2016.11.001>
- Miao, C., Duan, M., Zuo, Y., & Wu, X. (2021). Spatial heterogeneity and evolution trend of regional green innovation efficiency--an empirical study based on panel data of industrial enterprises in China's provinces. *Energy Policy*, *156*, 112370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112370>
- Min, S., Kim, J., & Sawng, Y. (2020). The effect of innovation network size and public R&D investment on regional innovation efficiency. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *155*, 119998. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.119998>
- Müller, J. M., Buliga, O., & Voigt, K. (2021). The role of absorptive capacity and innovation strategy in the design of Industry 4.0 business models: A comparison between SMEs and large enterprises. *European Management Journal*, *39*(3), 333–343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2020.01.002>
- O'Connor, M. L., & Rafferty, M. (2012). Corporate governance and innovation. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, *47*(2), 397–413. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s002210901200004x>
- Ooi, C., & Hooy, C. (2022). Muslim CEOs, risk-taking and firm performance. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, *74*, 101818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2022.101818>
- Pagani, M., & Pardo, C. (2017). The impact of digital technology on relationships in a business network. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *67*, 185–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.08.009>
- Paunov, C., & Rollo, V. (2016). Has the Internet fostered inclusive innovation in the developing world? *World Development*, *78*, 587–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.10.029>
- Peng, Y., & Tao, C. (2022). Can digital transformation promote enterprise performance? From the perspective of public policy and innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, *7*(3), 100198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100198>
- Pu, T., & Zulkafli, A. H. (2024). Managerial ownership and corporate innovation: Evidence of patenting activity from Chinese listed manufacturing firms. *Cogent Business and Management*, *11*(1), 2289202. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2289202>
- Rachinger, M., Rauter, R., Müller, C., Vorraber, W., & Eva, S. (2019). Digitalization and its influence on business model innovation. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, *30*(8), 1143–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jmtm-01-2018-0020>

- Reitz, H. J., Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1979). The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 4(2), 309. <https://doi.org/10.2307/257794>
- Reuschl, A. J., Deist, M., & Maâlaoui, A. (2022). Digital transformation during a pandemic: Stretching the organizational elasticity. *Journal of Business Research*, 144, 1320–1332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.01.088>
- Richter, A., Heinrich, P., Stocker, A., & Schwabe, G. (2018). Digital work design. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 60(3), 259–264. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-018-0534-4>
- Roodman, D. (2009). How to do Xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in stata. *The Stata Journal*, 9(1), 86–136. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867x0900900106>
- Şimşek, Z., Vaara, E., Paruchuri, S., Nadkarni, S., & Shaw, J. D. (2019). New ways of seeing big data. *Academy of Management Journal*, 62(4), 971–978. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2019.4004>
- Sousa-Zomer, T. T., Neely, A., & Martinez, V. (2020). Digital transforming capability and performance: A microfoundational perspective. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 40(7/8), 1095–1128. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijopm-06-2019-0444>
- Sun, C., Lin, Z., Vochozka, M., & Vincúrová, Z. (2022). Digital transformation and corporate cash holdings in China's A-share listed companies. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 13(4), 1081–1116. <https://doi.org/10.24136/oc.2022.031>
- Sunder, J., Sunder, S. V., & Zhang, J. (2017). Pilot CEOs and corporate innovation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 123(1), 209–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.11.002>
- Tee, C. M., Teoh, T. M., & Hooy, C. (2022). Political connection types and corporate tax avoidance: Evidence from Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Economic Studies*, 59(2), 199–220. <https://doi.org/10.22452/mjes.vol59no2.2>
- Tian, G., Li, B., & Cheng, Y. (2022). Does digital transformation matter for corporate risk-taking? *Finance Research Letters*, 49, 103107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2022.103107>
- Tu, W., & He, J. (2022). Can digital transformation facilitate firms' M&A: Empirical discovery based on machine learning. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 59(1), 113–128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496x.2022.2093105>
- Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>
- Wang, Q., & Du, Z. (2022). Changing the impact of banking concentration on corporate innovation: The moderating effect of digital transformation. *Technology in Society*, 71, 102124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102124>
- Wang, Z., Chen, M., Chin, C. L., & Zheng, Q. (2017). Managerial ability, political connections, and fraudulent financial reporting in China. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 36(2), 141–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2017.02.004>



- Wong, W., & Hooy, C. (2018). Do types of political connection affect firm performance differently? *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, *51*, 297–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2018.08.009>
- Wong, W., & Hooy, C. (2024). Is political connection associated with corporate risk-taking? Malaysian evidence. *The Singapore Economic Review* (online ready). <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0217590824500048>
- Xia, J., Wang, Y., Lin, Y., Yang, H., & Li, S. (2016). Alliance formation in the midst of market and Network: Insights from resource dependence and network perspectives. *Journal of Management*, *44*(5), 1899–1925. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316630379>
- Xu, X., Watts, A., & Reed, M. (2019). Does access to internet promote innovation? A look at the U.S. broadband industry. *Growth and Change*, *50*(4), 1423–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1111/grow.12334>
- Yoo, Y., Boland, R. J., Lyytinen, K., & Majchrzak, A. (2012). Organizing for innovation in the digitized world. *Organization Science*, *23*(5), 1398–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1120.0771>
- Yoo, Y., Henfridsson, O., & Lyytinen, K. (2010). Research commentary: The new organizing logic of digital innovation: An agenda for information systems research. *Information Systems Research*, *21*(4), 724–735. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1100.0322>
- Yuan, R., & Wen, W. (2018). Managerial foreign experience and corporate innovation. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *48*, 752–770. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2017.12.015>
- Zeng, H., Ran, H., Zhang, Q., Jin, Y., & Cheng, X. (2022). The financial effect of firm digitalization: Evidence from China. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *183*, 121951. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121951>
- Zhang, R., Xiong, Z., Li, H., & Deng, B. (2022). Political connection heterogeneity and corporate innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, *7*(3), 100224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100224>