

Thermal Relationship in Tropical Anurans from Two Contrasting Habitats Along an Elevation Gradient in Colombia

Authors:

Katalina Gutiérrez Hernández,* Carlos Alberto Galindo, Jorge Luis Turriago Gonzalez and Manuel Hernando Bernal Bautista

*Correspondence: kgutierrezh@ut.edu.co

Submitted: 7 November 2022; Accepted: 29 November 2023; Published: 30 March 2024

To cite this article: Katalina Gutiérrez Hernández, Carlos Alberto Galindo, Jorge Luis Turriago Gonzalez and Manuel Hernando Bernal Bautista. (2024). Thermal relationship in tropical anurans from two contrasting habitats along an elevation gradient in Colombia. *Tropical Life Sciences Research* 35(1): 219–234. https://doi. org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.1.12

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.1.12

Highlights

- Substrate temperature (Ts) was notably a better predictor of tropical anuran body temperature (BT) than a close air temperature (Ta).
- Anurans from high elevation (2,700 m.a.s.l) had a lower BT than those from lowlands (455 m.a.s.l), as expected by the temperature lapse rate.
- Anurans from open habitats had a higher mean BT, although not necessarily a wider BT variation, than species from forest habitats.

Tropical Life Sciences Research, 35(1), 219-234, 2024

Thermal Relationship in Tropical Anurans from Two Contrasting Habitats Along an Elevation Gradient in Colombia

Katalina Gutiérrez Hernández,* Carlos Alberto Galindo Martínez, Jorge Luis Turriago González and Manuel Hernando Bernal Bautista

Biology Department, Faculty of Sciences, Tolima University, Ibagué, Tolima, Colombia

Submitted: 7 November 2022; **Accepted:** 29 November 2023; **Published:** 30 March 2024 **To cite this article:** Katalina Gutiérrez Hernández, Carlos Alberto Galindo, Jorge Luis Turriago Gonzalez and Manuel Hernando Bernal Bautista. (2024). Thermal relationship in tropical anurans from two contrasting habitats along an elevation gradient in Colombia. *Tropical Life Sciences Research* 35(1): 219–234. https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.1.12 **To link to this article:** https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.1.12

Abstract: Anurans are ectothermic organisms highly susceptible to variations in the environmental temperature that changes with elevation and between habitats in tropical mountains. The aim of this study was to evaluate the variation of body temperature (BT) of nocturnal anurans from two contrasting habitats (open and forest habitats) along an elevation gradient in Colombia. We measured the environmental temperatures (substrate and air) and BT of 135 adult frogs of 11 species from open and forest habitats at three elevational zones of an Andean Mountain. The BT had a positive and significant relationship with environmental temperatures and showed a higher thermal dependence for substrate than air temperature, which suggests that anurans are thermoconformers and potentially tigmotherms. Additionally, BT of anurans from both habitats decreased with the elevation, but species from open habitats had a higher BT than forest species. Therefore, the impact of environmental temperatures on anurans that live at a similar altitude level is not the same, as the type of habitat has a strong influence on their BT. This information is important to a better understanding of anuran thermal biology, refine conservation strategies, and to improve the predictive power of environmental data in forecasting the effects of climate change on small ectotherms such as amphibians.

Keywords: Altitude, Amphibians, Conservation, Microhabitats, Temperature

INTRODUCTION

Environmental temperatures play an important role in the physiological and behavioral functions of amphibians, as they mostly regulate their body temperatures (Angilletta 2009; Duellman & Trueb 1994; Hillman *et al.* 2008). Additionally, local variation in environmental temperatures may determine the selection of microhabitats where amphibians can carry out successfully their biological activities (Nowakowski *et al.* 2017). In tropical mountains, the thermal environment is affected

^{*}Corresponding author: kgutierrezh@ut.edu.co

[©] Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia, 2024. This work is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

by elevation due to the gradual decrease in air temperature as elevation increases, the adiabatic cooling (Ehlers & Poulsen 2009). The thermal environment can be also modified by climatic change and habitat conversion which generally increase the temperatures (Hussain & Pandit 2012; Nowakowski *et al.* 2017; Nowakowski *et al.* 2018; Root *et al.* 2003). Particularly, at a microclimate scale, variations in the cloud and vegetation cover, wind speed and relative humidity, strongly influence the convection and conduction of microenvironmental temperatures (Gates 1980), which are the two main mechanisms of heat exchange in nocturnal amphibians (Pough *et al.* 2015). Consequently, changes in environmental temperatures may alter the geographical distribution, temporal activity, physiological and behavioural performance of amphibians, predominantly in endemic and thermal specialised species as those that inhabiting the Andean mountains of Colombia (Bernal & Lynch 2013; Lynch & Suárez 2002).

The thermal ecology of amphibians has been studied extensively for many years (Brattstrom 1963; Iturra *et al.* 2014; Navas *et al.* 2013; Taylor *et al.* 2020). However, there is limited information on the body temperatures (BT) of tropical anurans in relationship to microenvironmental temperatures, which differ significantly from macroclimate data that have been demonstrated to have limited predictive power in small animals, such as amphibians (Sanabria & Quiroga 2019). Therefore, information on average temperature and thermal variation of microhabitats seems indispensable to understand amphibian BT in tropical mountain systems, beyond the known phenomenon of BT decreasing with elevation (Navas *et al.* 2013). These microclimate data are especially important in the Andes because they are a global hot spots of anuran species richness and endemism (Armesto & Señaris 2017; Ortiz *et al.* 2013), and because Andean amphibians are very susceptible to decline in response to climate change (Catenazzi *et al.* 2014; Navas *et al.* 2013).

In this work, we recorded the environmental temperature and BT of tropical anurans from two contrasting habitats along an elevation gradient in an Andean Mountain of Colombia. Specifically, we evaluated the influence of: (1) air and substrate temperatures (Ta and Ts), (2) elevation, and (3) type of habitat (open vs forest) on BT of nocturnal anurans. As amphibians are considered tigmotherms (Cruz et al. 2016; Duellman & Trueb 1994), we expected that BT would show a stronger association with Ts than Ta, thus indicating to be a better predictor of variations in activity temperature of anurans. We also expected a negative relationship between BT and elevation, in response to environmental temperature decreasing as elevation increases (Navas et al. 2013; Ramírez et al. 2009). Finally, we hypothesised that anurans from open habitats (which are daily exposed to greater solar radiation) would have a higher mean and thermal variation than those from forest habitats along the studied elevational gradient. We hypothesised this result given the thermal stability of forest environments (Bohlman et al. 1995; Navas et al. 2013). Therefore, this paper aims to contribute to a better understanding of the thermal variation in two contrasting habitats (forest and open) across elevation and its impact on anuran BT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Sites and Species

This work was carried out at three different elevations (455 m.a.s.l, 1,400 m.a.s.l and 2,700 m.a.s.l) along the Cordillera Central of Colombian Andes, at the Tolima department, Colombia. The specific locations studied and their coordinates are detailed in Fig. 1. At each elevation, during three consecutive nights per month, from September to December 2018, two people actively searched adult anurans, based on acoustic and visual encounters (Angulo *et al.* 2006), both in forests as well as in open habitats, at approximately the same nocturnal hours, from 18:00 h to 24:00 h. Thus, our cumulative sampling effort was approximately of 72 h per person. We defined a forest habitat when the associated vegetation was mainly arboreal, with a close canopy higher than 60% (in which the land surface is covered by tree canopies), while a habitat was considered open when it had a maximum canopy cover (open canopy) up to 40% (FAO 2001; Galeana *et al.* 2009).



Figure 1: Location of study sites in the department of Tolima, Colombia. Black circle: forest area in Mariquita (5°11'25" N, 74°54'58" W); white circle: open area in Mariquita (5°10'18" N, 74°53'59" W). Black triangle: forest area in Líbano (4°57'14" N, 75°00'05" W); white triangle: open area in Líbano (4°55'56" N, 75°01'39" W). Black square: forest area in Murillo (4°52'25" N, 75°08'54" W); white square: open area in Murillo (4°52'28" N, 75°09'08" W).

Measures of Microenvironmental and Anuran Body Temperatures

Temperatures from anuran microhabitats were obtained with six data loggers IButton (DS1921G, accuracy of $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C), which were placed in both the forest and open habitats for each of the three elevational zones studied (2 × 3 = 6 IButtons). Specifically, each data logger we positioned on the ground, in direct contact with the soil surface, where adult anurans were found. The data loggers were programmed to register temperatures each hour from September to December 2018, broadly coinciding with rainy season and frog samplings.

Anuran BT and environmental temperatures (Ts and Ta) were measured simultaneously in the field with two thermometers Extech (421502, precision 0.3°C, 0.05% accuracy) and their two thermocouples type K. The BT was taken over the dorsal part of animal, whereas Ts was recorded directly in the place where the animal was found, such as on the surface of the ground, trunks, leaf litter, soil vegetation (pastures, mosses) or leaves of trees. When some animals were found in water. Ts was recorded as the temperature of the water surface. The Ta was measured 20 cm above the animal. Previously, in the laboratory of Herpetology at the Tolima University, at different hours of the day, we simultaneously measured 10 times the skin temperature and cloacal temperature (with the thermocouple type K and thermometer Extech) of nine animals from four species with different body sizes, so as to evaluate the differences between external and internal temperatures. We did not find significant differences (Repeated Measures ANOVA, p > 0.05 in all cases), as it has been reported in other amphibians (Cruz et al. 2016; Oromí et al. 2010). Therefore, we assumed that skin temperature reported in this study represents the internal body temperature of anurans. We also measured the snout-vent length of anurans (SVL) using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo, precision 0.01 mm).

Statistical Analyses

We used descriptive statistics (mean \pm standard deviation, range) to show the thermal environmental variables and BT. We conducted linear regressions and Pearson correlations to evaluate separately the relationship between BT and environmental temperatures (Ta and Ts), as data met the assumption of normality (Shapiro-Wilks test, p > 0.05). Additionally, we performed an ANCOVA to compare the BT of anurans from open vs forest habitats at each of the three elevational zones studied, using the SVL as covariable, and the Levene's test to compare the variances of BT between these two habitats. All statistical analyses were realised in RStudio version 4.1.2.

We recognise the importance of phylogenetic analyses for interspecific comparative studies; however, some previous data suggest that elevation and natural history may influence BT more than shared ancestry (Navas *et al.* 2013). In addition, due to the limited number of species studied, our data are likely not suitable for phylogenetic analysis (Blomberg *et al.* 2003). For that reason, herein we analysed our data employing conventional statistical analyses independent of phylogenetic position.

RESULTS

Data on the species studied, anuran BT and environmental temperatures for the forest and open habitats along the elevational gradient sampled are shown in Table 1. Mean BT varied from 11.0°C in *Pristimantis permixtus* at 2,700 m.a.s.l to 25.2°C in *Rhinella margaritifera* at 455 m.a.s.l. The highest BT variation within species was 3.1°C (range: 23.7°C–26.8°C) for *R. margaritifera* from the open habitat at 455 m.a.s.l, whereas the lowest one was 1.5°C (range: 10.0°C–11.5°C) for *P. permixtus* from the forest habitat at 2,700 m.a.s.l (Table 1).

In both open and forest habitats, BT had a positive and significant relationship with environmental temperatures (Fig. 2). Particularly, Pearson's test was higher than 0.65 for the relationship between BT and Ts in all cases, whereas it was lower than 0.65 between BT and Ta (Table 2).



Figure 2: Linear regression between BT and environmental temperatures in two contrasting habitats (open and forest) along an elevational gradient in the Cordillera Central of Colombia. °C: Celsius degree.

Table 1: Body temper habitats from three ele	ature (B vational	T) of anurans, substrate zones in the Cordillera Ce	(Ts) a entral	ind air (Ta) temperatures of Colombia (Tolima Dep	s for all sampled species artment).	s in the open and forest
Elevational zone	Habitat	Species	c	BT (°C)	Ts (°C)	Ta (°C)
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Forest	Pristimantis gaigei	2	24.6 ± 1.20 (22.9–25.9)	25.0 ± 1.04 (23.6–26.5)	25.2 ± 1.07 (23.9–26.7)
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Forest	Rhinella margaritifera	13	23.9 ± 0.55 (22.5–24.8)	24.1 ± 0.54 (23.0–25.3)	24.6 ± 0.89 (23.2–26.0)
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Forest	Boana platanera	4	23.9 ± 0.59 (23.5–24.8)	24.1 ± 0.43 (23.8–24.7)	24.3 ± 0.47 (24.0–25.0)
		All anurans	24	24.1 ± 0.83 (22.5–25.9)	24.3 ± 0.80 (23.0–26.5)	24.7 ± 0.93 (23.2–26.7)
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Open	Rhinella margaritifera	4	25.2 ± 0.78 (23.7–26.8)	25.5 ± 0.64 (24.5–26.4)	25.9 ± 0.64 (24.4–26.8)
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Open	Engystomops pustulosus	9	25.0 ± 0.68 (24.0–26.0)	25.7 ± 0.60 (24.9–26.4)	24.2 ± 1.25 (22.4–25.8)
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Open	Craugastor metriosistus	ო	25.0 ± 0.20 (24.8–25.2)	25.5 ± 1.05 (24.5–26.6)	26.1 ± 1.03 (25.0–27.0)
		All anurans	23	25.1 ± 0.69 (23.7–26.8)	25.5 ± 0.66 (24.5–26.6)	25.5 ± 1.14 (22.4–27.0)
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Forest	Pristimantis taeniatus	13	19.1 ± 0.85 (18.0–20.3)	19.8 ± 1.08 (18.0–21.7)	19.8 ± 0.88 (18.3–21.4)
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Forest	Espadarana prosoblepon	1	19.6 ± 0.85 (18.1–20.6)	20.9 ± 1.34 (19.2–22.6)	21.8 ± 1.36 (20.1–24.5)
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Forest	Rulyrana susatamai	с	19.2 ± 1.19 (18.2–20.5)	20.1 ± 0.61 (19.7–20.8)	20.7 ± 0.23 (20.6–21.0)
		All anurans	27	19.3 ± 0.88 (18.0– 20.6)	20.3 ± 1.24 (18.0–22.6)	20.7 ± 1.41 (18.3–24.5)
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Open	Boana platanera	12	22.3 ± 0.42 (21.5–22.9)	22.5 ± 0.62 (21.4–23.6)	22.9 ± 0.80 (21.0–24.0)
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Open	Pristimantis taeniatus	5	20.9 ± 1.12 (19.5–22.1)	21.4 ± 1.04 (20.1–22.6)	21.8 ± 0.90 (21.0–23.0)

(continued on next page)

Katalina Gutiérrez Hernández et al.

Table 1: (continued)						
Elevational zone	Habitat	Species	4	BT (°C)	Ts (°C)	Ta (°C)
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Open	Rhinella margaritifera	e	22.4 ± 0.31 (22.1–22.7)	23.1 ± 0.67 (22.3–23.5)	23.6 ± 0.21 (23.4–23.8)
		All anurans	20	21.9 ± 0.90 (19.5–22.9)	22.3 ± 0.90 (20.1–23.6)	22.7 ± 0.97 (21.0 –24.0)
Murillo: 2,700 m.a.s.l	Forest	Pristimantis boulengeri	5	11.2 ± 0.88 (10.0–12.7)	11.2 ± 1.41 (8.9–12.9)	12.0 ± 1.58 (10.0–14.3)
Murillo: 2,700 m.a.s.l	Forest	Niceforonia adenobrachia	0	11.4 ± 0.99 (10.0–12.8)	11.3 ± 0.81 (10.2–12.5)	11.8±0.73 (10.8–12.8)
Murillo: 2,700 m.a.s.l	Forest	Pristimantis permixtus	ო	11.0 ± 0.87 (10.0–11.5)	11.3 ± 0.61 (10.7–11.9)	11.2 ± 0.95 (10.3–12.2)
		All anurans	23	11.2 ± 0.90 (10.0–12.8)	11.2 ± 1.09 (8.9–12.9)	11.8 ± 1.22 (10.0–14.3)
Murillo: 2,700 m.a.s.l	Open	Pristimantis permixtus	10	11.9 ± 1.09 (11.0–13.9)	12.3 ± 0.80 (11.8–14.3)	11.4 ± 1.15 (9.6–13.4)
Murillo: 2,700 m.a.s.l	Open	Niceforonia adenobrachia	ø	11.8 ± 0.50 (11.0–12.5)	11.6 ± 0.91 (10.4–12.9)	11.6 ± 0.84 (10.5–13.3)
		All anurans Total anurans	18 135	11.8 ± 0.86 (11.0–13.9)	12.0 ± 0.91 (10.4–14.3)	11.5 ± 1.00 (9.6–13.4)
Notes: Values represent me	an, ± stand	ard deviation, and range. °C = Cel	lsius de	egree. <i>n</i> = number of individuals.		

Thermal Relationship in Tropical Anurans

Table 2: Pearson correlations (r) of body temperature (BT) vs substrate (Ts) and air (Ta) temperatures for two habitats (open and forest) located at three elevational zones in the Cordillera Central of Colombia. *n*: number of individuals.

Elevational zone	Habitat	n	BT–Ts	BT-Ta
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Forest	24	r = 0.89; <i>p</i> < 0.000	r = 0.49; <i>p</i> < 0.016
Mariquita: 455 m.a.s.l	Open	23	r = 0.71; <i>p</i> < 0.000	r = 0.60; <i>p</i> > 0.789
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Forest	27	r = 0.80; <i>p</i> < 0.000	r = 0.64; <i>p</i> < 0.000
Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l	Open	20	r = 0.65; <i>p</i> < 0.002	r = 0.54; <i>p</i> < 0.013
Murilo: 2,700 m.a.s.l	Forest	23	r = 0.76; <i>p</i> < 0.000	r = 0.61; <i>p</i> < 0.001
Murilo: 2,700 m.a.s.l	Open	18	r = 0.66; <i>p</i> < 0.003	r = 0.62; <i>p</i> < 0.006

Body temperature of anurans (mean, maximum and minimum) decreased significantly with elevation in both open and forest habitats (Fig. 3). Similarly, Pearson correlation coefficient (r) showed a high inverse linear relationship between BT and elevation in both habitats studied (open habitat, r = -0.94; forest habitat, r = -0.99).

Anurans from open habitat had a significantly higher BT than those from forest habitat, controlling for differences in body size (ANCOVA, F = 66.36, p < 0.001). However, the variance of the BT was not significant between open and forest habitats (Levene's test, p > 0.05). Particularly, comparing the anuran BT between these two habitats but within each elevational zone studied, the difference was statistically significant for the species from both low and medium zones (Tukey test p < 0.05), but not for those from the high elevation (Tukey test p > 0.05) (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, microenvironmental nightly temperatures (from 18:00 h to 6:00 h) showed higher hourly mean temperatures and greater range of variation for open than forest habitats in all three elevational zones studied (Fig. 5).



Figure 3: Linear regressions of anuran BT from two contrasting habitats (open and forest) as a function of elevation in the Cordillera Central of Colombia. °C: Celsius degree.



Figure 4: Body temperatures of anurans from two contrasting habitats (open and forest) at three elevational zones in the Cordillera Central of Colombia. The circles and squares indicate the mean and the lines the standard deviation. C°: Celsius degree.



Figure 5: Range of maximum and minimum nocturnal temperatures (squares indicate the mean) from 18:00 h to 6:00 h in two contrasting habitats along three elevational zones. °C: Celsius degree.

DISCUSSION

The positive and significant relationship between anuran body and environmental temperatures in two contrasting habitats in an Andean elevational gradient in Colombia (Fig. 2), indicates that frogs have the tendency to thermoconformity (i.e., BT parallels changes in environmental temperatures), as has also been reported in other related amphibian studies (Carvajalino et al. 2011; Iturra et al. 2014; Rueda et al. 2016). This thermal strategy results important because it may reduce the water loss maintaining the skin wet (Duellman & Trueb 1994) while amphibians perform their routine activities, such as foraging, calling, breeding and resting (Sanabria et al. 2011; Sanabria et al. 2014). Additionally, the stronger correlation of BT with Ts than Ta (Table 2), suggests that anurans present a tigmothermal regulation, where the conduction is probably the main way of heat exchange (Lara & Luja 2018; Leyte et al. 2018), as seen in other nocturnal amphibians, such as Pleurodema thaul (Iturra et al. 2014), and nine of the eleven species studied by Lara and Luia (2018). Thus, these data demonstrate that Ts is a better predictor of anuran BT than Ta, similar to that found in other amphibian species, Leptodactylus ocellatus (Sanabria et al. 2003), Pleurodema thaul (Iturra et al. 2014), and most of the anurans studied by Navas et al. (2013). This is an important finding for generating more realistic models about the effects of elevation, or environmental variables, on the physiological and behavioural performance of amphibians, especially when unusual or abrupt thermal changes may have a strong value in terms of thermal ecology and conservation of amphibians.

As expected, BT of anurans decreased through elevation in both habitats (open and forest) (Fig. 3), like other neotropical salamanders (Feder & Lynch 1982), and amphibians from the eastern Nepal Himalaya (Khatiwada et al. 2020), and the tropical Andes (Navas et al. 2013; Pintanel et al. 2019). This trend may be explained by the thermoconformity of amphibians which follows the inverse relationship between microenvironmental temperatures and elevation (Khatiwada et al. 2020; Navas et al. 2013). This result also suggests that elevation may have a stronger impact on BT than phylogeny (Navas et al. 2013), as this comparison was made among different taxa and within the same species located at different elevation, e.g., B. platanera and R. margaritifera, with similar outcomes, a lower anuran BT at the highest elevation (Table 1). Furthermore, the effect of differences in temperatures between two elevations was clearly more noticeable on anuran BT than the effect of differences in temperature between the two microhabitats studied at the same elevation, even though microhabitats can generate small areas with stable environmental conditions which may diverge from this main trend (Jones et al. 2011; Pintanel et al. 2019). For instance, the minimum BT difference between two elevational zones was 3.2°C in open habitats (Mariguita: 455 m.a.s.l and Líbano: 1,400 m.a.s.l: 25.1°C-21.9°C), whereas the maximum BT difference between forest and open habitats was 2.6°C (21.9°C-19.3°C) in Líbano (Tolima), the intermediate elevational zone (Table 1). Then, in this work we detected that changes in elevation caused a higher effect on anuran BT than microhabitat temperatures.

The mean of anuran BT was significantly higher in open than forest habitats at the same elevational level (450 and 1,400 m.a.s.l) (Fig. 4). This result agrees with the information reported by Soto et al. (2017) in the frog Agalychnis dacnicolor, whose BT was significantly higher in grassland than in forest areas. This difference could be explained due to the low cover vegetation in open areas that may allow a major solar radiation and consequently upper temperatures (Pintanel et al. 2019; Scheffers et al. 2017), while the arboreal vegetation in forest habitats may act like a buffer that generates low temperatures (Pincebourde & Suppo 2016; Scheffers et al. 2017). Nevertheless, at the highest elevational zone studied (2,700 m.a.s.l), the difference of anuran BT between open and forest habitats was not statistically significant, even though the environmental temperature in open areas was slightly hotter than in forest habitats (Fig. 5). This similarity between open and forest environments at the highest elevation could be due to the fact that BTs of both studied species, Niceforonia adenobrachia and P. permixtus, were measured in equivalent microhabitat conditions (N. adenobrachia: under rocks, plants or soil vegetation; P. permixtus: on bushes or ground). As opposed to our hypothesis, the thermal variance of anuran BT from forest habitat was not statistically lower than that from open habitat for each elevational level studied (Fig. 4). We consider that this result can be attributed to the most heterogeneous landscape (and thus a slightly wider thermal variance) of the forest habitat where we recorded the anuran BT (on the ground, mosses, tree branches, tree leaves, in water bodies), in comparison to the open habitat that was most homogenous (leaf litter, rocks and the soil vegetation). It has been demonstrated that within a forest, the microclimates change according to features such as topography, landscape composition and local water balance (De Frenne et al. 2021).

Some species found at the same elevation in both forest and open habitats (Pristimantis taeniatus and R. margaritifera) had similar BTs (Table 1). Contrarily, B. platanera and R. margaritifera sampled along two elevational zones showed BTs more associated to their elevation than their habitat (Table 1). Consequently, variations in both microhabitat and elevational environmental temperatures are good predictors of BTs in anurans and then their knowledge is crucial to determine risks associated to climate changes and habitat loss, which are considered key threatening processes for the biodiversity, as they cause thermal stress and droughts (Mantyka et al. 2011). For instance, the rapid decline in a population of the salamander Aneides aeneus within a highly fragmented habitat has been linked with an increase in the environmental temperature (Corser 2001). This information is also particularly valuable in tropical habitats that are frequently exposed to rapid fragmentation and destruction (Alroy 2017), as many anuran species are adapted to the specific temperature of their microhabitats (Bernal & Lynch 2013), where narrow changes in these temperatures may seriously affect the survival of species (Turriago et al. 2015), some of them likely stenothermic (i.e., organisms that cannot tolerate wide ranges of environmental temperatures) (Navas et al. 2013).

CONCLUSION

In this work, we found that:

- 1. Substrate temperature (Ts) is notably a better predictor of tropical anuran BT than a close air temperature (Ta) (approximately 20 cm above anurans). This information is important to be more accurate in predicting the risk of amphibians due to the global warming as many forecasts are obtained from macroenvironmental temperatures rather than those from microenvironmental habitats (Navas *et al.* 2013; Pintanel *et al.* 2019).
- Anurans from high elevation (2,700 m.a.s.l), have a lower BT than those from lowlands (455 m.a.s.l), as expected by the temperature lapse rate. This result demonstrates the thermoconformity of anuran BT along elevational gradients in the Colombian Andes.
- 3. Anurans from open habitats have a greater mean, although not necessarily a wider BT variation, than the species from forest habitats. These data show that the temperature of habitats can change even within the same elevational level, microhabitats, and thus, the anuran BT.

This reinforces the importance of the records of microhabitat temperatures to a better estimation of anuran BTs, and the physiological and behavioural effects of habitat modification (e.g., deforestation, habitat conversion) on these ectotherms. Finally, given that anurans from forest habitat have a lower mean BT than species from open habitat, it would be interesting to test if anurans from these habitats are more thermally specialists with a lower thermal tolerance than those from open areas (see Pintanel *et al.* [2019] for an example with *Pristimantis* frogs). This may have conservation implications considering the substantial heating found in converted tropical forest and threatened environments including tropical Andes (Senior *et al.* 2017).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Vicerrectoría de Investigación-Creación, Innovación, Extensión y Proyección Social (Vice President of Research-Creation, Innovation, Extension and Social Projection) of the Tolima University (project number 320130517). Collection permit was approved by Corporación Autónoma Regional del Tolima, CORTOLIMA (resolution number 3758 from 16 November 2016). Experiments were authorised by the Bioethics Committee of Tolima University. Jorge Turriago was funded by a doctoral scholarship from the project "Formación de Talento Humano de Alto Nivel" approved by the Fondo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (CTeI) of Sistema General de Regalías (SGR) – BPIN 2013000100103, Tolima Governorate and Tolima University, Colombia.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Katalina Gutiérrez Hernández: Conceived the ideas and designed the methodology, collected and analysed the data.

Manuel H. Bernal: Conceived the ideas and designed the methodology.

Carlos Galindo: Collected and analysed the data.

All authors wrote the paper and contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

REFERENCES

- Angilletta M J. (2009). Thermal adaptation a theoretical and empirical synthesis. New York, Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198570875.001.1
- Alroy J. (2017). Effects of habitat disturbance on tropical forest biodiversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114(23): 6056–6061. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1611855114
- Angulo A, Rueda J, Rodriguez J and La Marca E. (2006). *Técnicas de inventario y monitoreo para los anfibios de la Región Tropical Andina* [Inventory and monitoring techniques for amphibians of the Tropical Andean Region]. Bogotá DC: Conservación Internacional.
- Armesto L O and Señaris J C. (2017). Anuros del norte de los andes: Patrones de riqueza de especies y estado de conservación [Northern Andean anurans: Patterns of species richness and conservation status]. *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia* 57(39): 491–526. https://doi.org/10.11606/0031-1049.2017.57.39
- Bernal M H and Lynch J D. (2013). Thermal tolerance in anuran embryos with different reproductive modes: Relationship to altitude. *The Scientific World Journal* 2013: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/183212
- Blomberg S P, Garland T and Ives A R. (2003). Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: Behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* 57(4): 717–745. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00285.x
- Bohlman S, Matelson T and Nadkarni N. (1995). Moisture and temperature patterns of canopy humus and forest floor soil of a montane cloud forest, Costa Rica. *Biotropica* 27: 13–19. https://doi.org/10.2307/2388898
- Brattstrom B H. (1963). A preliminary review of the thermal requirements of amphibians. *Ecology* 44(2): 238–255. https://doi.org/10.2307/1932171
- Carvajalino J M, Bonilla M A and Navas C A. (2011). Freezing risk in tropical high-elevation anurans: An assessment based on the Andean frog *Pristimantis nervicus* (Strobomantidae). *South American Journal of Herpetology* 6(2): 73–78. https://doi. org/10.2994/057.006.0205
- Catenazzi A, Lehr E and Vredenburg V T. (2014). Thermal physiology, disease, and amphibian declines on the Eastern slopes of the Andes. *Conservation Biology* 28(2): 509–517. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12194
- Corn P S. (2005). Climate change and amphibians. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation* 28(1): 59–67. https://doi.org/10.32800/abc.2005.28.0059
- Corser J D. (2001). Decline of disjunct green salamander (*Aneides aeneus*) populations in the southern Appalachians. *Biological Conservation* 97: 119–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00106-3

- Cruz E X, Galindo C A and Bernal M H. (2016). Dependencia térmica de la salamandra endémica de Colombia *Bolitoglossa ramosi* (Caudata, plethodontidae). *Iheringia Série Zoologia* 106: e2016018. https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4766e2016018
- De Frenne P, Lenoir J, Luoto M, Scheffers B, Zellweger F, Aalto J, Ashcroft M, Christiansen D, Decocq G, Pauw K, Govaert S, Greiser C, Gril E, Hampe A, Tommaso J, et al. (2021). Forest microclimates and climate change: Importance, drivers and future research agenda. Global Change Biology 27(11): 2279–2297. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15569
- Delgado P and Burrowes P A. (2022). Response to thermal and hydric regimes point to differential inter- and intraspecific vulnerability of tropical amphibians to climate warming. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 103: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jtherbio.2021.103148
- Duellman W E and Trueb L. (1994). *Biology of amphibians*. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Ehlers T A and Poulsen C J. (2009). Influence of Andean uplift on climate and paleoaltimetry estimates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 281(3–4): 238–248. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.02.026
- FAO. (2001). Causas y tendencias de la deforestación en América Latina. https://www.fao. org/3/ad680s/ad680s00.htm#TopOfPage (accessed on 3 June 2021).
- Feder M E and Lynch J F. (1982). Effects of latitude, season, elevation, and microhabitat on field body temperatures of neotropical and temperate zone salamanders. *Ecology* 63(6): 1657–1664. https://doi.org/10.2307/1940107
- Galeana J M, Corona N and Ordóñez J A. (2009). Análisis dimensional de la cobertura vegetal-uso de suelo en la cuenca del río Magdalena [Dimensional analysis of vegetation cover-land use in the Magdalena River basin]. *Ciencia Forestal En* México 34(105): 137–158.
- Gates D M. (1980). *Biophysical ecology*. New York: Springer-Verlag. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-4612-6024-0
- Hillman S S, Withers P C, Drewes R C and Hillyard S D. (2008). *Ecological and environmental* physiology of amphibians. Oxford: Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/ acprof:oso/9780198570325.001.0001
- Hussain Q A and Pandit A K. (2012). Global amphibian declines: A review. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation* 4(10): 348–357. https://doi.org/10.5897/ IJBC12.008
- Iturra M, Vidal M, Labra A and Ortiz J C. (2014). Winter thermal ecology of *Pleurodema thaul* (Amphibia: Leptodactylidae). *Gayana* 78(1): 25–30. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-65382014000100004
- Jones M M, Szyska B and Kessler M. (2011). Microhabitat partitioning promotes plant diversity in a tropical montane forest. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 20(4): 558–569. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00627.x
- Khatiwada J R, Zhao T and Jiang J. (2020). Variation of body temperature of active amphibians along elevation gradients in eastern Nepal Himalaya. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 92: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2020.102653
- Lara R A and Luja V H. (2018). Body temperatures of some amphibians from Nayarit, Mexico. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 89(2): 577–581. https://doi.org/10.22201/ ib.20078706e.2018.2.2122

- Leyte A, Gonzáles R L, Quintero G E, Alejo F and Berriozabal C. (2018). Aspectos ecológicos de una comunidad de anuros en un ambiente tropical estacional en Guanajuato, México [Ecological aspects of an anuran community in a seasonal tropical environment in Guanajuato, Mexico]. Acta Zoológica Mexicana 34(1): 1–14. https://doi.org/10.21829/azm.2018.3412138
- Lynch J D and Suárez A. (2002). Análisis biogeográfico de los anfibios paramunos [Biogeographic analysis of paramuno amphibians]. *Caldasia* 24(2): 471–480.
- Mantyka C, Martin T and Rhodes J. (2011). Interactions between climate and habitat loss effects on biodiversity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 18: 1239–1252. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02593.x
- Navas C A, Carvajalino J M, Saboyá L P, Rueda L A and Carvajalino M A. (2013). The body temperature of active amphibians along a tropical elevation gradient: patterns of mean and variance and inference from environmental data. *Functional Ecology* 27(5): 1145–1154. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12106
- Nowakowski A J, Watling J I, Thompson M E, Brusch G A, Catenazzi A, Whitfield S M, Kruz D J, Suarez A, Aponte A, Donnelly M A and Todd B D. (2018). Thermal biology mediates responses of amphibians and reptiles to habitat modification. *Ecology Letters* 21(3): 345–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12901
- Nowakowski A J, Watling J I, Whitfield S M, Todd B D, Kurz D J and Donnelly M A. (2017). Tropical amphibians in shifting thermal landscapes under land-use and climate change. *Conservation Biology* 31(1): 96–105. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12769
- Oromí N, Sanuy D and Sinsch U. (2010). Thermal ecology of natterjack toads (*Bufo calamita*) in a semiarid landscape. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 35(1): 34–40. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2009.10.005
- Ortiz C E, Páez V, Zapata F A. (2013). Temperature and precipitation as predictors of species richness in northern Andean amphibians from Colombia. *Caldasia* 35(1): 65–80.
- Pincebourde S and Suppo C. (2016). The vulnerability of tropical ectotherms to warming is modulated by the microclimatic heterogeneity. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 56(1): 85–97. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icw014
- Pintanel P, Tejedo M, Ron S R, Llorente G A and Merino A. (2019). Elevational and microclimatic drivers of thermal tolerance in Andean *Pristimantis* frogs. *Journal of Biogeography* 46(8): 1664–1675. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13596
- Pough F H, Andrews R M, Crump M L, Savitzky A H, Wells K D and Brandley M C. (2015). *Herpetology*, 4th ed. New York: Sinauer Associates. https://doi.org/10.1093/ hesc/9781605352336.001.0001
- Ramírez S, Meza P, Yánez M and Reyes J. (2009). Asociaciones interespecíficas de anuros en cuatro gradientes altitudinales de la Reserva Biológica Tapichalaca, Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador [Interspecific associations of anurans in four altitudinal gradients of the Tapichalaca Biological Reserve, Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador]. Serie Zoológica 4–5: 35–49.
- Root T L, Price J T, Hall K R, Schneider S H, Rosenzweig C and Pounds J A. (2003). Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature* 421(41): 57–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01333
- Rueda L A, Navas C A, Carvajalino J M and Amézquita A. (2016). Thermal ecology of montane Atelopus (Anura: Bufonidae): A study of intrageneric diversity. Journal of Thermal Biology 58: 91–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2016.04.007
- Sanabria E A, Quiroga L B and Acosta J C. (2003). Ecología térmica de Leptodactylus ocellatus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Anura: Leptodactylidae) en los bañados de zonda, San Juan, Argentina. Cuadernos de Herpetología 17(1–2): 127–129.

- Sanabria E A, Quiroga L B and Martino A L. (2011). Seasonal changes in the thermoregulatory strategies of *Rhinella arenarum* in the Monte desert, Argentina. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 36(1): 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2010.10.002
- Sanabria E A, Vaira M, Quiroga L B, Akmentins M S and Pereyra L C. (2014). Variation of thermal parameters in two different color morphs of a diurnal poison toad, *Melanophryniscus rubriventris* (Anura: Bufonidae). *Journal of Thermal Biology* 41: 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2014.01.005
- Sanabria E and Quiroga L. (2019). The body temperature of active desert anurans from hyper-arid environment of South America: The reliability of WorldClim for predicted body temperatures in anurans. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 85: 1–9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2019.102398
- Scheffers B R, Edwards D P, Macdonald S L, Senior R A, Andriamahohatra L R, Roslan N, Rogers A, Haugaasen T, Wright P and Williams S E. (2017). Extreme thermal heterogeneity in structurally complex tropical rain forests. *Biotropica* 49(1): 35–44. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12355
- Senior R A, Hill J K, González P, Goode L K and Edwars D P. (2017). A pantropical analysis of the impacts of forest degradation and conversion on local temperature. *Ecology* and Evolution 7(19): 7897–7908. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3262
- Soto Y, Suazo I, Urbina N, Marroquín J and Alvarado J. (2017). Efecto de los estadios sucesionales del bosque tropical seco sobre el microhábitat usado por Agalychnis dacnicolor (Anura: Phyllomedusidae) y Smilisca fodiens (Anura: Hylidae). Revista de Biología Tropical 65(2): 777–798. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v65i2.24706
- Taylor E, Diele L, Gangloff E, Hall J, Halpern B, Massey M, Rödder D, Rollinson N, Spears S, Sun B and Telemeco R. (2020). The thermal ecology and physiology of reptiles and amphibians: A user's guide. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 335(1): 13-44. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.2396
- Turriago J, Parra C and Bernal M. (2015). Upper thermal tolerance in anuran embryos and tadpoles at constant and variable peak temperatures. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 93(4): 267–272. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2014-0254