

High Carriage of *tetA*, *sul1*, *sul2* and *bla*_{TEM} Resistance Genes among the Multidrug-resistant Uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) Strains from Malaysian Patients

Authors:

Jia-Jin Chin, Hui-Mei Lee, Shuet-Yi Lee, Yin-Ying Lee and Choy-Hoong Chew*

Correspondence: chewch@utar.edu.my

Submitted: 22 November 2022; Accepted: 17 January 2024; Published: 31 July 2024

To cite this article: Jia-Jin Chin, Hui-Mei Lee, Shuet-Yi Lee, Yin-Ying Lee and Choy-Hoong Chew. (2024). High carriage of *tetA*, *sul1*, *sul2* and *bla*_{TEM} resistance genes among the multidrug-resistant uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) strains from Malaysian patients. *Tropical Life Sciences Research* 35(2): 211–225. https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.2.10

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.2.10

Highlights

- Multidrug-resistant (MDR) uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) accounts for 40.0% of the isolates obtained, with the highest prevalence of resistance towards ampicillin, followed by tetracycline and nalidixic acid.
- *bla*_{TEM} is the most prevalent antibiotic resistance gene detected, followed by *tetA* and *sul2*. However, *bla*_{SHV} is not present among the UPEC isolates.
- The MDR, ampicillin and tetracycline-resistant isolates is significantly associated with a higher prevalence of *tetA*, *sul1*, *sul2* and *bla*_{TEM}. The patient's age and gender are not risk factors for the carriage of the resistance genes.

High Carriage of *tetA*, *sul1*, *sul2* and *bla*_{TEM} Resistance Genes among the Multidrug-resistant Uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) Strains from Malaysian Patients

Jia-Jin Chin, Hui-Mei Lee, Shuet-Yi Lee, Yin-Ying Lee and Choy-Hoong Chew*

Department of Allied Health Sciences, Faculty of Science, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR), 31900 Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Submitted: 22 November 2022; **Accepted:** 17 January 2024; **Published:** 31 July 2024 **To cite this article:** Jia-Jin Chin, Hui-Mei Lee, Shuet-Yi Lee, Yin-Ying Lee and Choy-Hoong Chew. (2024). High carriage of *tetA*, *sul1*, *sul2* and *bla*_{TEM} resistance genes among the multidrug-resistant uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) strains from Malaysian patients. *Tropical Life Sciences Research* 35(2): 211–225. https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.2.10 **To link to this article:** https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2024.35.2.10

Abstract: The rapid emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) strains pose a critical challenge in urinary tract infection (UTI) treatments. However, little work elucidated the resistance mechanisms of the MDR UPEC clinical strains in Malaysia. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles and the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes among the UPEC strains. Polymerase chain reactions were conducted to detect the presence of 6 antimicrobial resistance genes among 60 UPEC strains. Meanwhile, the antimicrobial resistance profiles against 9 antimicrobials were examined through the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. In this study, the MDR isolates accounted for 40.0% (24/60), with the highest prevalence of resistance towards ampicillin (43/60; 71.7%), followed by tetracycline (31/60; 51.7%), nalidixic acid (30/60; 50.0%), co-trimoxazole (20/60, 33.3%), ciprofloxacin (19/60, 31.7%), levofloxacin (16/60, 21.6%) and chloramphenicol (10/60, 16.7%). In contrast, low resistance rates were observed among minocycline (1/60; 1.7%) and imipenem (0/60; 0.0%). bla_{TEM} was the most prevalent gene (36/60; 60.0%), followed by tetA (27/60; 45.0%), sul2 (25/60; 41.7%), sul1 (13/60; 21.7%) and tetB (8/60; 13.3%). Surprisingly, bla_{SHV} was not detected among the UPEC isolates. The MDR, ampicillin and tetracycline-resistant isolates were significantly associated with a higher prevalence of tetA, sul1, sul2 and bla_{TEM}. In contrast, tetB displayed no significant relationship with any of the antimicrobials tested. The patient's age and gender were not the risk factors for the carriage of the resistance genes. Our findings identified the common resistance genes carried by the antimicrobial resistant UPEC isolates and provide valuable insights into developing the best antibiotic prescription regime to treat UTIs in our local scene.

Keywords: *bla*_{TEM}, Multidrug Resistance, *sul1*, *sul2*, *tetA*

Abstrak: Kemunculan pesat strain *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) uropathogenik tahan *multidrug* (MDR) menimbulkan cabaran kritikal dalam rawatan jangkitan saluran kencing (UTI). Di Malaysia, kami menghadapi kekurangan informasi penyelidikan tentang mekanisme

© Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia, 2024. This work is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*}Corresponding author: chewch@utar.edu.my

Jia-Jin Chin et al.

rintangan strain klinikal MDR UPEC. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan profil kerentanan antimikrob dan kelaziman gen rintangan antimikrob dalam kalangan strain UPEC di Malaysia. Tindak balas rantai polimerase telah dijalankan untuk mengesan kehadiran 6 gen rintangan antimikrob di antara 60 strain UPEC. Sementara itu, profil kerentanan antimikrob terhadap 9 antimikrob telah diperiksa melalui kaedah Kirby-Bauer. Dalam kajian ini, isolat MDR menyumbang 40.0% (24/60), dengan prevalens rintangan tertinggi terhadap ampicillin (43/60; 71.7%), diikuti oleh tetrasiklin (31/60; 51.7%) dan asid nalidiksik (30/60; 50.0%). Sebaliknya, kadar kerentanan yang tinggi diperhatikan di kalangan minocycline (59/60; 98.3%) dan imipenem (60/60; 100.0%). *bla*_{TEM} adalah gen yang paling lazim (36/60; 60.0%), diikuti oleh tetA (27/60; 45.0%), sul2 (25/60; 41.7%), sul1 (13/60; 21.7%) dan tetB (8/60; 13.3%). Yang menghairankan, blaSHV tidak dikesan di kalangan isolat UPEC. MDR, ampicillin dan tetracycline dikaitkan dengan prevalens tetA, sul1, sul2 dan blaTEM yang tinggi. Sebaliknya, tetB tidak menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan dengan mana-mana antimikrobial yang diuji. Umur dan jantina pesakit bukanlah faktor risiko untuk membawa gen rintangan. Penemuan kami mengenal pasti gen rintangan biasa yang dibawa oleh pengasingan UPEC yang tahan antimikrob dan memberikan pandangan berharga untuk membangunkan rejim preskripsi antibiotik terbaik untuk merawat UTI di tempat kejadian tempatan kami.

Kata kunci: bla_{TEM}, Perintang Pelbagai Dadah, sul1, sul2, tetA

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) represents one of the most frequently encountered microbial infections in humans that is predominantly caused by uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) (Maniam *et al.* 2022; Yang *et al.* 2022). While antimicrobial therapy remains the mainstay of treating and ameliorating the clinical symptoms of UTIs, the rise of multidrug resistance (MDR) among the UPEC strains makes UTI treatments progressively more challenging and expensive (Halaji *et al.* 2022; Maniam *et al.* 2022). The emergence of MDR UPEC strains is assumed to be driven by the widespread of antimicrobial resistance genes through mobile genetic elements such as transposons, integrons and conjugative plasmids (Rozwadowski & Gawel 2022).

In recent years, the UPEC strains have gained greater resistance towards firstline antimicrobials. For instance, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, which serves as the mainstay for uncomplicated cystitis treatment, is less effective in countries including Pakistan (82%), Mexico (72.7%) and Mongolia (70.9%) due to the high resistance rates (Ramírez-Castillo *et al.* 2018; Kot 2019). Furthermore, ciprofloxacin, the empirical oral prescription for uncomplicated pyelonephritis, also shows a profound level of resistance in Ethiopia (85.5%), Taiwan (79.5%) and Thailand (65.4%) (Kot 2019; Tewawong *et al.* 2020; Lin *et al.* 2021). High resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, which is recommended for treating mild and moderate pyelonephritis, has been reported in countries such as Jordan (83%), Turkey (50.9%) and France (37.6%) (Lavigne *et al.* 2016; Kot 2019; Yılmaz & Aslantaş 2020). While the resistance rates of the UPEC strains are relatively lower for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (34.1%), ciprofloxacin (27.0%) and amoxicillin-

clavulanic acid (13.4%) in Malaysia, the resistance rates of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and ciprofloxacin have increased from 13.2% and 26.3% in 2019 to 13.4% and 27.0% in 2020, respectively (Institute for Medical Research 2020).

Production of beta-lactamase is a widely known resistance mechanism of gram-negative bacteria, including UPEC strains (Xiao *et al.* 2019; Zhu *et al.* 2022). Beta-lactamase is a hydrolytic enzyme that cleaves the amide bond of the four-membered ring structure of beta-lactams antibiotics such as penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapenems and monobactams (Bush & Bradford 2020; Ibrahim *et al.* 2021). Common beta-lactamases include SHV- (sulfhydryl reagent variable) and TEMbeta-lactamases, which are encoded by the bla_{SHV} and bla_{TEM} genes (Gundran *et al.* 2019). TEM was first identified in *E. coli* isolated from a patient named Temoniera (Mansouri & Ramazanzadeh 2009). To date, hundreds of their variants are identified and often associated with isolates co-resistant to other classes of antibiotics, such as cotrimoxazole and fluoroquinolones (Bush & Bradford 2020; Castanheira *et al.* 2021; Salah *et al.* 2019).

Among the non-beta lactam antimicrobials, a high prevalence of tetracycline and sulphonamides such as cotrimoxazole are commonly observed among the UPEC strains (Bunduki *et al.* 2021; Mortazavi-Tabatabaei *et al.* 2019). The rising of tetracycline resistance is often associated with the acquisition of *tetA* and *tetB* efflux genes, which encode for membrane-associated proteins to export tetracycline from the cells (Chopra & Roberts 2001). On the other hand, sulphonamide resistance is typically driven by the acquisition of dihydropteroate synthase (DHPS) enzymes that are encoded by *sul* genes (Xu *et al.* 2020). Among the four plasmid-borne *sul* genes, *sul1* and *sul2* were more widely disseminated in geographical areas such as Europe, Canada, Iran, Poland and China than *sul3* and *sul4* (Adamus-Białek *et al.* 2018; Arabi *et al.* 2015; Blahna *et al.* 2006; Xu *et al.* 2020). These *sul* genes are co-located with other resistance genes (e.g., *tetA* and *bla*_{TEM} genes) on the same plasmids, suggesting that these plasmids may also aid in co-selecting other resistance genes (Poirel *et al.* 2018).

Surveillance of the antimicrobial resistance profiles and the resistance genes is crucial in combating the spreading of these antimicrobial resistant UPEC isolates. While the Malaysian National Surveillance of Antibiotic Resistance (NSAR) programmes have been established since 2000 to monitor the resistance profiles of the UPEC strains, little research revealed the prevalence of resistance genes in relation to the host factors such as age and gender (Ministry of Health Malaysia 2017). Therefore, this study is aimed to investigate the prevalence of *the resistance genes (bla_{TEM}, bla_{SHV}, tet and sul)*, and to determine the antibiotic resistance profile as well as the association between the phenotypic and genotypic data among the UPEC isolates in Malaysia. Here, we highlight the host age and gender differences in association with the antimicrobial gene profiles of the UPEC strains collected from Malaysian patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

This research study was approved by the Ministry of Health Malaysia, with the reference number KKM/NIHSEC/P21-31(4). A total of 60 UPEC isolates were randomly collected from the patients' urine specimens in Raja Permaisuri Bainun Hospital in 2020. All isolated bacterial had significant bacteriuria of more than 100,000 colony-forming units/mL and underwent bacterial identification through the Microflex[®] LT/SH MALDI-TOF biotyper (Bruker, Germany).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

The antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of UPEC isolates against ampicillin (10 μ g), tetracycline (30 μ g), minocycline (30 μ g), nalidixic acid (30 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), levofloxacin (5 μ g), co-trimoxazole (25 μ g), chloramphenicol (30 μ g) and imipenem (10 μ g) were conducted via the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. The phenotypic profiles of the isolates were determined as described by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guideline 2021. For analysis, isolates that showed intermediate resistance were also treated as resistant. The MDR isolates were defined as the UPEC isolates that showed resistance to 1 or more antimicrobial agents in 3 or more different antimicrobial categories (Magiorakos *et al.* 2012).

Genomic DNA Extraction

All the template deoxyribonucleic acids (DNAs) were extracted through the fastboil method, as described by (Kor *et al.* 2013). All extracted DNA samples had an A260/A280 ratio between 1.8 to 2.0 when measuring using NanoDropTM 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, United States).

Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

A total of six antimicrobial resistance genes, including bla_{SHV} , bla_{TEM} , tetA, tetB, sul1 and sul2 were examined through 3 duplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays (Table 1). The PCR assays were carried out in a total volume of 25 µL containing a final concentration of 1X buffer, 1.25 mM to 1.5 mM of magnesium chloride (MgCl₂), 0.1 mM to 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), 1.25 U of *Taq* polymerase, 180 ng of template DNA and 0.5 µM primers (except for *tetA and tetB* primers; 0.3 µM and bla_{TEM} primers; 1 µM). All primer sequences and PCR conditions were illustrated in Table 1. The PCR products were resolved on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel prestained with EtB"Out" nucleic acid staining solution at 90 volts for approximately 45 min. The gel images were then visualised and captured using the ChemiDocTM XRS+ with Image LabTM software (Bio-Rad, United States).

Reaction	Target gene	Sequence (5' - 3')	Size (bp)	Conditions	References
Duplex I	$bla_{ extsf{TEM}}$	F: 5'-ATCAGCAATAAACCAGC-3'	516	5 min at 94°C, 32 cycles of 30 s at	Mabilat & Courvalin (1990)
		R: 5'-CCCCGAAGAACGTTTTC-3'		94 ⁻ C, annealing for 30 s at 56 ⁻ C, elongation for 1 min at 72°C, and	
	bla _{sHV}	F: 5'-AGGATTGACTGCCTTTTTG-3'	392	extension for 10 min at 72°C	Colom <i>et al.</i> (2003)
		R: 5'-ATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCG-3'			
Duplex II	tetA	F: 5'-GTGAAACCCAACATACCCC-3'	888	5 min at 94°C, 30 cycles of 1 min at	Maynard <i>et al.</i> (2003)
		R: 5'-GAAGGCAAGCAGGATGTAG-3'		94°C, annealing for 30 s at 56°C, elongation for 1 min at 72 °C, and	
	tetB	F: 5'-CCTCAGCTTCTCAACGCGTG-3'	634	extension for 8 min at 72°C	Dormanesh <i>et al.</i> (2014)
		R: 5'-GCACCTTGCTGATGACTCTT-3'			
Duplex III	sul1	F: 5'-CGGCGTGGGCTACCTGAACG-3'	432	5 min at 95°C, 30 cycles of 30 s at	Shinu <i>et al. (</i> 2020)
		R: 5'-GCCGATCGCGTGAAGTTCCG-3'		95°C, annealing for 30 s at 58°C, elongation for 45 s at 72°C, and	
	sul2	F: 5'-GCGCTCAAGGCAGATGGCATT-3'	293	extension for 5 min at 72°C	Shinu <i>et al.</i> (2020)
		R: 5'-GCGTTTGATACCGGCACCCGT-3'			

Table 1: Primer sequences and PCR conditions for antimicrobial resistance genes detection.

Jia-Jin Chin et al.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were computed and analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 statistical software (IBM, United States). Pearson's Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was conducted to analyse the categorical variables. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant throughout this study.

RESULTS

Demographic Profiles of the Study Population

Among the 60 UPEC isolates, 73.3% (44/60) were collected from female patients, whereas 26.7% (16/60) were collected from male patients (Table 2). For analysis, the host age was divided into three age groups: 14 years old and below, 15–59 years old and 60 years old and above. Most of the isolates were collected from the age group 60 years old and above (31/60; 51.7%), followed by the age group 15–59 years old (25/60; 41.7%) and 14 years old and below (4/60; 6.7%) (Table 2).

Age group —	No. of isolates (%)				
	Female (<i>n</i> = 44)	Male (<i>n</i> = 16)	Total (<i>n</i> = 60)		
0–14	2 (50.0)	2 (50.0)	4 (6.7)		
15–59	20 (80.0)	5 (20.0)	25 (41.7)		
≥ 60	22 (71.0)	9 (29.0)	31 (51.7)		

Table 2: Demographic data of the study population.

Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles

Out of the nine antimicrobials tested, the highest resistance rate was observed among ampicillin (43/60; 71.7%), followed by tetracycline (31/60; 51.7%) and nalidixic acid (30/60; 50.0%) as shown in Table 3. The UPEC isolates also displayed greater resistance towards co-trimoxazole (20/60; 33.3%), ciprofloxacin (19/60; 31.7%), levofloxacin (16/60; 26.7%), chloramphenicol (10/60; 16.7%), but to a lesser extent towards minocycline (1/60; 1.7%). All the UPEC isolates were susceptible to imipenem. Alarmingly, 40.0% (24/60) of the isolates were MDR (Table 3).

Antimicrobial	No. of resistant isolates (%)
Penicillin	
Ampicillin	43 (71.7)
Tetracyclines	
Tetracycline	31 (51.7)
Minocycline	1 (1.7)
Quinolones	
Nalidixic acid	30 (50.0)
Ciprofloxacin	19 (31.7)
Levofloxacin	16 (26.7)
Sulphonamide	
Co-trimoxazole	20 (33.3)
Phenicol	
Chloramphenicol	10 (16.7)
Carbapenem	
Imipenem	0 (0.0)
Multidrug resistance	24 (40.0)

Table 3: Antimicrobial resistance profiles among the UPEC isolates.

Prevalence of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

PCR amplification of the resistance genes revealed that most of the UPEC isolates exhibited bla_{TEM} (36/60; 60.0%), but none of them contained bla_{SHV} (Table 4). Over 40% of the isolates conferred *tetA* (27/60; 45.0%) and *sul2* (25/60; 41.7%), but less than 20% of them carried *sul1* (13/60; 21.7%) and *tetB* (8/60; 13.3%).

Association between Antimicrobial Resistance Phenotypes and Resistance Genes

Table 4 shows that the ampicillin and tetracycline-resistant isolates harboured a higher prevalence of *tetA*, *sul1*, *sul2* and *bla*_{TEM}. On the other hand, *tetA*, *sul1* and *sul2* were more frequently detected among the nalidixic acid and co-trimoxazole-resistant isolates. Meanwhile, *sul1* and *bla*_{TEM} were more commonly found among the ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin-resistant isolates (Table 4). On the contrary, *tetB* displayed no significant relationship with any of the antimicrobials tested. Overall, the MDR isolates carried more *tetA* (19/24; 79.2%), *sul1* (12/24; 50.0%), *sul2* (16/24; 66.7%) and *bla*_{TEM} (19/24; 79.2%) (all *p* < 0.05) as shown in Table 4.

A // · · · · ·	No. of resistant isolates that conferred the corresponding resistance gene (%)						
Antimicrobial	<i>tetA</i> (<i>n</i> = 27)	tetB (n = 8)	<i>sul1</i> (<i>n</i> = 13)	sul2 (n = 25)	<i>bla</i> _{тем} (<i>n</i> = 36)		
Ampicillin (n = 43)	26 (60.5) ^a	7 (16.3)	13 (30.2) ^a	25 (58.1)ª	30 (69.8) ^a		
Tetracycline (<i>n</i> = 31)	25 (80.6) ^a	7 (22.6)	12 (38.7) ^a	21 (67.7) ^a	25 (80.6) ^a		
Minocycline (n = 1)	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)		
Nalidixic acid (<i>n</i> = 30)	18 (60.0) ^a	5 (16.7)	10 (33.3) ^a	17 (56.7)ª	21 (70.0)		
Ciprofloxacin (<i>n</i> = 19)	12 (63.2)	3 (15.8)	8 (42.1) ^a	11 (57.9)	15 (78.9)ª		
Levofloxacin (<i>n</i> = 16)	10 (62.5)	2 (12.5)	8 (50.0) ^a	10 (62.5)ª	13 (81.3)ª		
Co-trimoxazole (<i>n</i> = 20)	15 (75.0)ª	4 (20.0)	10 (50.0) ^a	16 (80.0)ª	15 (75.0)		
Chloramphenicol (<i>n</i> = 10)	5 (50.0)	2 (20.0)	6 (60.0) ^a	5 (50.0)	4 (40.0)		
Multidrug resistance (n = 24)	19 (79.2) ^a	6 (25.0)	12 (50.0)ª	16 (66.7)ª	19 (79.2)ª		

Table 4: Prevalence of resistance genes among different classes of resistant isolates.

*Notes: bla*_{SHV} was not detected among the UPEC isolates; All the UPEC isolates were susceptible to imipenem. ^a indicates $\rho < 0.005$.

Association between Antimicrobial Resistance Genes and Host Factors

The UPEC isolates collected from female patients carried a higher prevalence of *tetA* (20/44; 45.5%), *tetB* (7/44; 15.9%) and *bla*_{TEM} (28/44; 63.6%) (Table 5). In contrast, higher occurrences of *sul1* (6/16; 37.5%) and *sul2* (7/16; 43.8%) were observed among the male patients. However, Pearson's Chi-square test demonstrated no significant relationship (p > 0.005) between the resistance genes and host gender (Table 5).

Table 5 shows that half of the resistance genes tested were prevalently found among the age group 15–59, including *tetA* (13/25; 52.0%), *sul1* (7/25; 28.0%) and *bla*_{TEM} (16/25; 64.0%). Meanwhile, *sul2* (2/4; 50.0%) and *tetB* (6/31; 19.4%) were more frequently detected among the age group 14 years and below and 60 years and above, respectively. No resistance gene was significantly correlated with host age (Table 5).

	No. of isolates (%)							
Resistance	Gender				Age group			
gene	Female (<i>n</i> = 44)	Male (<i>n</i> = 16)	p	0–14 (n = 4)	15–59 (<i>n</i> = 25)	≥ 60 (<i>n</i> = 31)	p	Total (<i>n</i> = 60)
tetA	20 (45.5)	7 (43.8)	0.907	2 (50.0)	13 (52.0)	12 (38.7)	0.597	27 (45.0)
tetB	7 (15.9)	1 (6.3)	0.669	0 (0.0)	2 (8.0)	6 (19.4)	0.332	8 (13.3)
sul1	7 (15.9)	6 (37.5)	0.088	0 (0.0)	7 (28.0)	6 (19.4)	0.408	13 (21.7)
sul2	18 (40.9)	7 (43.8)	0.844	2 (50.0)	11 (44.0)	12 (38.7)	0.869	25 (41.7)
bla _{TEM}	28 (63.6)	8 (50.0)	0.340	1 (25.0)	16 (64.0)	19 (61.3)	0.328	36 (60.0)

Table 5: Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes among different genders and age groups.

Note: bla_{SHV} was not detected among the UPEC isolates.

DISCUSSION

Antimicrobial resistance crisis represents one of the primary health threats in Malaysia due to the indiscriminate use of antimicrobials (Hague et al. 2022; Naeemmudeen et al. 2021). Out of the nine antimicrobials tested, the UPEC strains displayed the highest prevalence of resistance towards ampicillin (43/60; 71.7%) (Table 3). This finding was consistent with the recent systematic review conducted by Naeemmudeen et al. (2021), in which the resistance rates of the ampicillin ranged from 68.0% to 100.0%. Ampicillin has been widely prescribed to treat E. coli infection in humans worldwide (Chen et al. 2019), which may explain its high resistance rate in the present study. Among the three quinolones antimicrobials, 50.0% of the isolates were resistant nalidixic acid (first-generation quinolone), followed by ciprofloxacin (second-generation guinolone) and levofloxacin (thirdgeneration quinolone) (Table 3). Our results conform with the fact that the newer generations of guinolones have higher potency and a larger spectrum of activities than the older generations (Millanao et al. 2021; Suaifan et al. 2022). Furthermore, the high imipenem susceptibility rate (100.0%) observed in the current study was in accordance with the previous findings (Lin et al. 2021; Yılmaz & Aslantaş 2020). Carbapenems are typically served as the last-resort antibiotics to treat severe cases of UTI (Rozwadowski & Gawel 2022).

The prevalence of MDR isolates in this study (24/60; 40.0%) was higher than those reported in Libya (33.2%) and Turkey (34.6%) but was lower than those reported in Thailand (62.0%), Mexico (63%) and Mongolia (93.9%) (Abujnah *et al.* 2015; Munkhdelger *et al.* 2017; Ramírez-Castillo *et al.* 2018; Tewawong *et al.* 2020; Yılmaz & Aslantaş 2020). However, the sample size of this study population (60 isolates) was relatively smaller as compared to the mentioned studies, so it may not be able to reflect the true prevalence of MDR in our geographical area.

For the beta-lactamases genes tested, bla_{TEM} (36/60; 60.0%) was present prevalently among the UPEC isolates as compared to bla_{SHV} (0/60; 0.0%), suggesting that bla_{TEM} may be the predominant *bla* genes subtypes in our local scene. Similarly, prior works demonstrated that the extended-spectrum betalactamases (ESBL)-producing UPEC isolates harboured a higher prevalence of bla_{TEM} but with the absence of bla_{SHV} (Alqasim *et al.* 2018; Valadbeigi *et al.* 2020). Recently, $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ has emerged as the leading ESBL gene among the UPEC strains (Alqasim *et al.* 2018). Therefore, the traditional bla_{SHV} types may have been replaced by $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, which could explain the absence of bla_{SHV} in the current investigation.

In the present study, *tetA* (27/60; 45.0%) was more commonly found among the UPEC isolates as compared to *tetB* (8/60; 13.3%) (Table 4). These results were consistent with earlier studies in Nigeria and Iraq (Olowe *et al.* 2013; Zeadan *et al.* 2022). The predominance of *tetA* may be attributed to the greater transferability of *tetA*, thereby allowing *tetA* to be disseminated more easily among the UPEC strains (Olowe *et al.* 2013).

For the sulphonamide resistance genes, sul2 (25/60; 41.7%) was more frequently detected among UPEC isolates as compared to sul1 (13/60; 21.7%) (Table 4). A similar result was reported by Lin *et al.* (2016), where a higher prevalence of sul2 was observed among the co-trimoxazole-resistant isolates. In addition, a prior study revealed that the minimum inhibitory concentration of co-trimoxazole required to kill the sul2-positive bacteria strains was the highest as compared to sul1 and sul3-positive strains, indicating that the drug-resistant activity of the sul2 was the strongest (Lai *et al.* 2019).

Despite being present prevalently in beta-lactam antimicrobials, including ampicillin, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, bla_{TEM} was also more frequently detected among non-beta-lactam antimicrobial such as tetracycline in the current investigation (Table 4). Similarly, *sul1* and *sul2* sulphonamide resistance genes were present prevalently among other classes of antimicrobials apart from co-trimoxazole (Table 4). Likewise, *tetA* tetracycline resistance gene was also more frequently detected among the ampicillin, nalidixic acid and co-trimoxazole-resistant isolates (Table 4). These findings agreed with previous studies where positive correlations between the resistance genes and other non-paired antimicrobials were also reported (Jiang *et al.* 2021). These resistance genes are usually located in plasmids or integrons that can be transmitted and acquired easily through numerous horizontal gene transfer events, which may eventually lead to the accumulation of multiple resistance genes (Liu *et al.* 2022).

Although the UPEC strains collected from males and age group 60–79 were significantly resistant to cephalosporin antibiotics (p < 0.05) in our previous study (Chin *et al.*, 2023), we demonstrated that the patient's age and gender were not significant risk factors for the carriage of targeted antimicrobial genes in this study. Although some of the resistance genes, such as *tetA* and *bla*_{TEM} were present prevalently among female patients and age group 15–59, their associations were not statistically significant (all p > 0.05) (Table 5). This may be due to the insufficient sample size or uneven distribution of UPEC isolates among different age groups and gender, which hinders from detection of the true associations.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we demonstrated the high carriage of *tetA*, *sul1*, *sul2* and *bla*_{TEM} antimicrobial resistance genes among the MDR, ampicillin and tetracycline-resistant isolates. In contrast, *tetB* displayed no significant relationship with any of the antimicrobials tested and *bla*_{SHV} was not detected among the UPEC isolates. The patient's age and gender were not the risk factors for the carriage of the resistance genes in this study. Comprehensive surveillance programs and close monitoring of resistance genes may be urgently needed to observe the antimicrobial resistance issue in our community.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported partially by the Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman Research Fund (UTARRF) under the Grant No. 6200/CG5.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Chin Jia-Jin: Manuscript preparation, compilation and analysis of the data.

Lee Hui-Mei: Project execution, data acquisition and analysis.

Lee Shuet-Yi: Project execution, data acquisition and analysis.

Lee Yin-Ying: Project execution, data acquisition and analysis.

Chew Choy-Hoong: Conceptualisation, supervision, manuscript drafting and revision.

REFERENCES

- Abujnah A A, Zorgani A, Sabri M A M, El-Mohammady H, Khalek R A and Ghenghesh K S. (2015). Multidrug resistance and extended-spectrum β-lactamases genes among *Escherichia coli* from patients with urinary tract infections in Northwestern Libya. *Libyan Journal of Medicine* 10(1): 26412. https://doi.org/10.3402/ljm.v10.26412
- Adamus-Białek W, Baraniak A, Wawszczak M, Głuszek S, Gad B, Wróbel K, Bator P, Majchrzak M and Parniewski P. (2018). The genetic background of antibiotic resistance among clinical uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains. *Molecular Biology Reports* 45(5): 1055–1065. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-018-4254-0
- Alqasim A, Abu J A and Alyousef A A. (2018). Prevalence of multidrug resistance and extended-spectrum β-lactamase carriage of clinical uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Microbiology* 2018: 3026851. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3026851
- Arabi H, Pakzad I, Nasrollahi A, Hosainzadegan H, Azizi J F, Taherikalani M, Samadi N and Monadi S A. (2015). Sulfonamide resistance genes (sul) M in extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) and non-ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from Iranian hospitals. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology* 8(7): e19961. https://doi. org/10.5812/jjm.19961v2
- Blahna M T, Zalewski C A, Reuer J, Kahlmeter G, Foxman B and Marrs C F. (2006). The role of horizontal gene transfer in the spread of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole resistance among uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in Europe and Canada. *The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 57(4): 666–672. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jac/dkl020
- Bunduki G K, Heinz E, Phiri V S, Noah P, Feasey N and Musaya J. (2021). Virulence factors and antimicrobial resistance of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) isolated from urinary tract infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 21(1): 753. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06435-7
- Bush K and Bradford P A. (2020). Epidemiology of β-lactamase-producing pathogens. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 33(2): e00047-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/ CMR.00047-19

- Castanheira M, Simner P J and Bradford P A. (2021). Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: An update on their characteristics, epidemiology and detection. *JAC-Antimicrobial Resistance* 3(3): dlab092. https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/dlab092
- Chen T, Liu N, Ren P, Xi X, Yang L, Sun W, *et al.* (2019). Efficient biofilm-based fermentation strategies for L-threonine production by *Escherichia coli. Frontiers in Microbiology* 10: 1773. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01773
- Chin J J, Choo Q C, Murnihayati H, Ho W Y, Chew C H. (2023). Virulence-associated traits and antimicrobial resistance of the uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) strains in relation to phylogenetic background and host factors from Malaysian patients. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology* 19(2): 211–221. https://doi.org/10.21161/ mjm.220134
- Chopra I and Roberts M. (2001). Tetracycline antibiotics: Mode of action, applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 65(2): 232–260. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MMBR.65.2.232–260.2001
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2021). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing*. https://www.treata.academy/wp-content/ uploads/2021/03/CLSI-31-2021.pdf (accessed on 16 November 2022).
- Colom K, Perez J, Alonso R, Fernandez-Aranguiz A, Larino E and Cisterna R. (2003). Simple and reliable multiplex PCR assay for detection of *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{SHV} and *bla*_{OXA-1} genes in Enterobacteriaceae. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 223(2): 147–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00306-9
- Dormanesh B, Moghny M, Safarpoor D F, Yahaghi E and Khodaverdi D E. (2014). Molecular characterization and antimicrobial resistance of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Iranian Journal of Biotechnology* 12(2): 32–40. https://doi.org/10.5812/IJB.16833
- Gundran R S, Cardenio P A, Villanueva M A, Sison F B, Benigno C C, Kreausukon K, Pichpol D and Punyapornwithaya V. (2019). Prevalence and distribution of *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM} genes in extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *E. coli* isolates from broiler farms in the Philippines. *BMC Veterinary Research* 15(1): 227. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12917-019-1975-9
- Halaji M, Fayyazi A, Rajabnia M, Zare D, Pournajaf A and Ranjbar R. (2022). Phylogenetic group distribution of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and related antimicrobial resistance pattern: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Frontiers in Cellular* and Infection Microbiology 12: 790184. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.790184
- Haque M, Ara T, Haq M A, Lugova H, Dutta S, Samad N, Rabiu A A, Syed M S S B, Rahman M M, Islam S, Adnan N, Ahmad R, Binti A S L, Ismail M H B and Godman B. (2022). Antimicrobial prescribing confidence and knowledge regarding drug resistance: Perception of medical students in Malaysia and the implications. *Antibiotics* 11(5): 540. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11050540
- Ibrahim M E, Algak T B, Abbas M and Elamin B K. (2021). Emergence of *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV} and blaOXA genes in multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter *baumannii* in Saudi Arabia. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine* 22(6): 1450. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2021.10885
- Institute for Medical Research (2020). National antibiotic resistance surveillance report 2020. Kuala Lumpur: Institute for Medical Research. https://www.imr.gov.my/ images/uploads/NSAR/2020/NSAR-REPORT-2020.pdf (accessed 21 September 2022).

- Jiang X, Liu L, Chen J, Fan X, Xie S, Huang J and Yu G. (2021). Antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements in a rural river in Southeast China: Occurrence, seasonal variation and association with the antibiotics. *The Science of the Total Environment* 778: 146131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146131
- Kor S-B, Choo Q-C and Chew C-H. (2013). New integron gene arrays from multiresistant clinical isolates of members of the Enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from hospitals in Malaysia. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 62(Pt 3): 412–420. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.053645-0
- Kot B. (2019). Antibiotic resistance among uropathogenic *Escherichia coli. Polish Journal of Microbiology* 68(4): 403–415. https://doi.org/10.33073/pjm-2019-048
- Lai Y M, Zaw M T, Aung T S, Tin W and Lin Z. (2019). Comparison of the activities among three sul genes present in uropathogenic Escherichia coli. Borneo Journal of Medical Sciences 13(1): 17–22.
- Lavigne J P, Bruyère F, Bernard L, Combescure C, Ronco E, Lanotte P, Coloby P, Thibault M, Cariou G, Desplaces N, Costa P and Sotto A. (2016). Resistance and virulence potential of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from patients hospitalized in urology departments: A French prospective multicentre study. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 65(6): 530–537. https://doi.org/10.1099/ jmm.0.000247
- Lin W-H, Wang M-C, Liu P-Y, Chen P-S, Wen L-L, Teng C-H and Kao C-Y. (2021). *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infections: Host age-related differences in bacterial virulence factors and antimicrobial susceptibility. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infection* 55(2): 249–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2021.04.001
- Lin Z, Lai Y M, Zaw M T and Samsudin A T. (2016). Distribution of sul genes and their variants in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from two hospitals of Sabah. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research* 2(2): 213–220. https://doi. org/10.3329/ajmbr.v2i2.29063
- Liu Z, Yao J, Ma H, Rukeya A, Liang Z, Du W and Chen Y. (2022). Bacterial hosts and genetic characteristics of antibiotic resistance genes in wastewater treatment plants of Xinjiang (China) revealed by metagenomics. *Applied Sciences* 12(6): 3100. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12063100
- Mabilat C and Courvalin P. (1990). Development of "oligotyping" for characterization and molecular epidemiology of TEM beta-lactamases in members of the family Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 34(11): 2210–2216. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.34.11.2210
- Magiorakos A-P, Srinivasan A, Carey R B, Carmeli Y, Falagas M E, Giske C G, Harbarth S, Hindler J F, Kahlmeter G, Olsson-Liljequist B, Paterson D L, Rice L B, Stelling J, Struelens M J, Vatopoulos A, Weber J T and Monnet D L. (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: An international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 18(3): 268–281. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- Maniam L, Vellasamy K M, Jindal H M, Narayanan V, Danaee M, Vadivelu J and Pallath V. (2022). Demonstrating the utility of *Escherichia coli* asymptomatic bacteriuria isolates' virulence profile towards diagnosis and management-a preliminary analysis. *PloS One* 17(5): e0267296. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267296
- Mansouri M and Ramazanzadeh R. (2009). Spread of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Esherichia coli* clinical isolates in Sanandaj hospitals. *Journal of Biological Sciences* 9: 362–366. https://doi.org/10.3923/jbs.2009.362.366

- Maynard C, Fairbrother J M, Bekal S, Sanschagrin F, Levesque R C, Brousseau R, Masson L, Larivière S and Harel J. (2003). Antimicrobial resistance genes in enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O149:K91 isolates obtained over a 23-year period from pigs. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 47(10): 3214–3221. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.47.10.3214-3221.2003
- Millanao A R, Mora A Y, Villagra N A, Bucarey S A and Hidalgo A A. (2021). Biological effects of quinolones: A family of broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents. *Molecules* 26(23): 7153. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26237153
- Ministry of Health Malaysia (2017). *Malaysian action plan on antimicrobial resistance* (*MyAP-AMR*) 2017–2021. https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Penerbitan/ Garis%20Panduan/Garis%20panduan%20Umum%20(Awam)/National_Action_ Plan - FINAL 29 june.pdf (accessed on 16 November 2022).
- Mortazavi-Tabatabaei S A R, Ghaderkhani J, Nazari A, Sayehmiri K, Sayehmiri F and Pakzad I. (2019). Pattern of antibacterial resistance in urinary tract infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Preventive Medicine* 10: 169. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_419_17
- Munkhdelger Y, Gunregjav N, Dorjpurev A, Juniichiro N and Sarantuya J. (2017). Detection of virulence genes, phylogenetic group and antibiotic resistance of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in Mongolia. *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries* 11(1): 51–57. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.7903
- Naeemmudeen N M, Mohd G, N A N, Bahari H, Ibrahim R, Samsudin A D and Jasni A S. (2021). Trends in antimicrobial resistance in Malaysia. *The Medical Journal* of Malaysia 76(5): 698–705.
- Olowe O A, Idris O J and Taiwo S S. (2013). Prevalence of tet genes mediating tetracycline resistance in *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates in Osun State, Nigeria. *European Journal of Microbiology and Immunology* 3(2): 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1556/ EuJMI.3.2013.2.7
- Poirel L, Madec J-Y, Lupo A, Schink A-K, Kieffer N, Nordmann P and Schwarz S. (2018). Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiology Spectrum* 6(4): 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0026-2017
- Ramírez-Castillo F Y, Moreno-Flores A C, Avelar-González F J, Márquez-Díaz F, Harel J and Guerrero-Barrera A L. (2018). An evaluation of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates in urinary tract infections from Aguascalientes, Mexico: Cross-sectional study. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials* 17(1): 34. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12941-018-0286-5
- Rozwadowski M and Gawel D. (2022). Molecular factors and mechanisms driving multidrug resistance in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*: An update. *Genes* 13(8): 1397. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13081397
- Salah F D, Soubeiga S T, Ouattara A K, Sadji A Y, Metuor-Dabire A, Obiri-Yeboah D, Banla-Kere A, Karou S and Simpore J. (2019). Distribution of quinolone resistance gene (qnr) in ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella spp.* in Lomé, Togo. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control* 8: 104. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13756-019-0552-0
- Shinu P, Bareja R, Nair A B, Mishra V, Hussain S, Venugopala K N, Sreeharsha N, Attimarad M and Rasool S T. (2020). Monitoring of non-β-lactam antibiotic resistanceassociated genes in ESBL producing Enterobacterales isolates. *Antibiotics* 9(12): 884. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9120884
- Suaifan G A R Y, Mohammed A A M and Alkhawaja B A. (2022). Fluoroquinolones' biological activities against laboratory microbes and cancer cell lines. *Molecules* 27(5): 1658. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27051658

- Tewawong N, Kowaboot S, Pimainog Y, Watanagul N, Thongmee T and Poovorawan Y. (2020). Distribution of phylogenetic groups, adhesin genes, biofilm formation, and antimicrobial resistance of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from hospitalized patients in Thailand. *PeerJ* 8: e10453. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10453
- Valadbeigi H, HatamiLak M, Maleki A, Kouhsari E and Sadeghifard N. (2020). Molecular characteristics, antimicrobial resistance profiles, and antibiotic resistance determinants in uropathogenic fluoroquinolone resistant-*Escherichia coli* isolates. *Gene Reports* 18: 100584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2019.100584
- Xiao L, Wang X, Kong N, Zhang L, Cao M, Sun M, Wei Q and Liu W. (2019). Characterization of beta-lactamases in bloodstream-infection *Escherichia coli*: Dissemination of blaADC–162 and blaCMY–2 among bacteria via an IncF plasmid. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10: 2175. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02175
- Xu F, Min F, Wang J, Luo Y, Huang S, Chen M, Wu R and Zhang Y. (2020). Development and evaluation of a Luminex xTAG assay for sulfonamide resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* 49: 101476. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2019.101476
- Yang W, Liu P, Chen Y, Lv Q, Wang Z, Huang W, Jiang H, Zheng Y, Jiang Y and Sun L. (2022). Dictamnine inhibits the adhesion to and invasion of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) to urothelial cells. *Molecules* 27(1): 272. https://doi. org/10.3390/molecules27010272
- Yılmaz E Ş and Aslantaş Ö. (2020). Phylogenetic group/subgroups distributions, virulence factors, and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* strains from urinary tract infections in Hatay. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* 53: e20190429. https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0429-2019
- Zeadan A M, Saleem A J and Abbas S M. (2022). Detection and analysis of resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* bacteria isolated from children in Baghdad. *Advanced Gut and Microbiome Research* 2022: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1450019
- Zhu Y, Huang W E and Yang Q. (2022). Clinical perspective of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. *Infection and Drug Resistance* 15: 735–746. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR. S345574